

Attached Copy of March 6, 2013 Email by EAB Petitioner Celeste Draisner

from: Shalev, Omer <Shalev.Omer@epa.gov>
to: Rose Flame <mysecretfires@gmail.com>
cc: "Rios, Gerardo" <Rios.Gerardo@epa.gov>
date: Wed, Mar 6, 2013 at 5:25 PM
subject: USEPA Region 9- Response to Comments Submitted by Ms. Celeste Draisner for PSD Permit SAC 12-01
: Important mainly because of the words in the message

Dear Ms. Draisner:

I am responding to your email sent to Omer Shalev and received on Friday, February 22, 2013, inquiring about comments you submitted regarding the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit (PSD permit # SAC 12-01). The PSD permit applies to the approval to construct and operate a new stoker boiler capable of generating 31 MW of gross electrical output from the combustion of biomass and natural gas, and related auxiliary equipment (Project), at Sierra Pacific Industries' Anderson, California facility. Thank you for your interest in this PSD permit action and for your efforts in preparing a submittal.

We could not find your submittal among the comments received at either of the two locations specified in the public notice for our proposed permit. Our September 12, 2012 public notice stated that all written comments and requests on EPA's proposed action "must be sent or delivered in writing to Omer Shalev" either by email to R9airpermits@epa.gov or by U.S. mail at the EPA's offices at 75 Hawthorne Street in San Francisco. The AAQIR/Fact Sheet that you reference in your comments also states on page 46 that all written comments and requests on the EPA's proposed action "must be sent or delivered in writing to Omer Shalev" either by email to R9airpermits@epa.gov or by U.S. mail at the EPA's offices at 75 Hawthorne Street in San Francisco.

When EPA staff could not find your submittal, they checked individual email accounts and found your comments in Omer Shalev's individual email account. This account was not the correct address for submitting email comments regarding the EPA's PSD permit for the Project; therefore, your comments were not identified or recognized as formal comments and were not included in the Responses to Public Comments document for the Project.

Nevertheless, the EPA would like to acknowledge your interest in the PSD permit for SPI-Anderson. We are therefore providing the following responses to your questions in the attachment to this email. To a large extent, we believe that your comments were addressed by the EPA's Ambient Air Quality Impacts Report (AAQIR) or were similar to comments the EPA received from other commenters. We hope that you will find these responses helpful in addressing your concerns regarding the Project. We will be including your comments and our responses in the online docket for this action under the heading of "Post Final Decision Material Not Included in the Administrative Record." This material is accessible through a link on our website, www.epa.gov/region09/air/permit/r9-permits-issued.html#psd, or at www.regulations.gov (Docket ID # EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0634).